	Case 2:20-cv-00099-KJM-AC Documen	t 13 Filed 06/17/20 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	COUNTY OF EL DORADO,	No. 2:20-cv-00099 KJM AC
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	PROTECTIVE ORDER
13	GENERAL REINSURANCE CORPORATION,	
14	Defendant.	
15	Defendant.	
16	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties' Stipulated Protective Order (ECF No. 12), is	
17	APPROVED and INCORPORATED herein.	
18	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:	
19	1. Requests to seal documents shall be made by motion before the same judge who will	
20	decide the matter related to that request to seal.	
21	2. The designation of documents (including transcripts of testimony) as confidential	
22	pursuant to this order does not automatically entitle the parties to file such a document with the	
23	court under seal. Parties are advised that any request to seal documents in this district is governed	
24	by E.D. Cal. R. ("Local Rule") 141. In brief, Local Rule 141 provides that documents may only	
25	be sealed by a written order of the court after a specific request to seal has been made. Local	
26	Rule 141(a). However, a mere request to seal is not enough under the local rules. In particular,	
27	Local Rule 141(b) requires that "[t]he 'Request to Seal Documents' shall set forth the statutory or	
28	other authority for sealing, the requested duration, the identity, by name or category, of persons	
	.l	1

Case 2:20-cv-00099-KJM-AC Document 13 Filed 06/17/20 Page 2 of 2 1 to be permitted access to the document, and all relevant information." Local Rule 141(b) 2 (emphasis added). 3 3. A request to seal material must normally meet the high threshold of showing that 4 "compelling reasons" support secrecy; however, where the material is, at most, "tangentially 5 related" to the merits of a case, the request to seal may be granted on a showing of "good cause." 6 Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC, 809 F.3d 1092, 1096-1102 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 7 137 S. Ct. 38 (2016); Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-80 (9th 8 Cir. 2006). 9 4. Nothing in this order shall limit the testimony of parties or non-parties, or the use of 10 certain documents, at any court hearing or trial – such determinations will only be made by the 11 court at the hearing or trial, or upon an appropriate motion. 12 5. With respect to motions regarding any disputes concerning this protective order which 13 the parties cannot informally resolve, including any disputes regarding inadvertently produced 14 materials under Fed. R. Evid. 502, the parties shall follow the procedures outlined in Local 15 Rule 251. Absent a showing of good cause, the court will not hear discovery disputes on an ex 16 parte basis or on shortened time. 17 6. The parties may not modify the terms of this Protective Order without the court's 18 approval. If the parties agree to a potential modification, they shall submit a stipulation 19 and proposed order for the court's consideration. 20 7. Pursuant to Local Rule 141.1(f), the court will not retain jurisdiction over enforcement 21 of the terms of this Protective Order after the action is terminated. 22 8. Any provision in the parties' stipulation (ECF No. 12) that is in conflict with anything 23 in this order is hereby DISAPPROVED. 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 DATED: June 17, 2020 Meson Clane 26

28

27

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE